#### **1 WORKPLAN QIP 2025/26**

### Access and Flow

### **Measure - Dimension: Efficient**

| Indicator #1   | Туре | •        | Source /<br>Period                          | Current<br>Performance | Target | Target Justification  | External Collaborators |
|--|------|----------|---|------------------------|--------|---|------------------------|
| Median of Wait Time for All<br>Neuromotor therapy/services | С    | patients | In house data<br>collection  /<br>Jan - Dec | 103.00                 |        | This would be a realistic, stretch<br>target that is reflective of current<br>referral rates and program capacity |                        |

### Change Ideas

| Change Idea #1 Designing a needs-based model for clients based on medical and social needs for each client.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Methods  | ds Process measures Target for process measure Comments      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection   | % of clients for whom needs-based model will be implemented. | 50% clients receiving the needs-based model for care services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Idea #2 Retter prioritize clinician schedules to balance new vs. follow-up by implementing a scheduling dashboard to track new vs. follow-ups for therapy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Change Idea #2 Better prioritize clinician schedules to balance new vs. follow-up by implementing a scheduling dashboard to track new vs. follow-ups for therapy professionals.

| Methods                  | Process measures   | Target for process measure | Comments |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------|
| In house data collection | Process measure: % of new vs. follow-u<br>appointment Balancing measure: Follow<br>up wait times |                            |          |

#### 2 WORKPLAN QIP 2025/26

## Equity

### **Measure - Dimension: Equitable**

| Indicator #2   | Туре |          | Source /<br>Period                          | Current<br>Performance | Target | Target Justification  | External Collaborators |
|--|------|----------|---|------------------------|--------|---|------------------------|
| Number of survey responses for<br>clients who completed the<br>Sociodemographic Survey | С    | patients | Hospital<br>collected<br>data / Jan-<br>Dec | 1197.00                |        | Organizational data collection process aligned with strategic plan. |                        |

### **Change Ideas**

Change Idea #1 Sustain data collection activity and optimize collection methods

| Methods  | Process measures   | Target for process measure  | Comments |
|--|--|---|----------|
| Dashboard refinement to support<br>understanding of collection activity<br>across all delivery methods (initial and<br>follow-up registration points,<br>connect2care, appointment reminder<br>emails) such that we can target<br>improvement activities | # completed surveys through<br>registration (main floor and second<br>floor) # completed surveys through<br>connect2care portal # completed<br>surveys through Qualtrics/appointment<br>emails | Sustain total volume of collections<br>across all means (1500) with ability to<br>differentiate source of collection via<br>dashboard |          |

Change Idea #2 Develop infrastructure to support data integration and analysis to understand disparities in care outcomes, experience, and access

| Methods  | Process measures   | Target for process measure                        | Comments |
|--|--|---|----------|
| Integration and analysis of data from<br>SDD data and other sources such as<br>outcomes data in identified program<br>areas (e.g., Employment Pathways<br>program) and safety event data | Successful roll-out of the integrated data model within this FY. | Collect baseline via the new integrated approach. |          |

### **3 WORKPLAN QIP 2025/26**

# Experience

### Measure - Dimension: Patient-centred

| Indicator #3   | Туре | •        | Source /<br>Period                          | Current<br>Performance | Target | Target Justification  | External Collaborators |
|--|------|----------|---|------------------------|--------|---|------------------------|
| Percentage of 'excellent' rating by<br>clients and/or families to the<br>question: Overall how would you<br>rate Holland Bloorview | С    | patients | Hospital<br>collected<br>data / Jan-<br>Dec | 81.70                  |        | Ongoing adaptation to the current<br>survey vendor and collection<br>methods. Maintain strong client<br>experience. |                        |

| Change Idea #1 Sustain data collection activity and optimize collection methods |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Methods   | Process measures  | Target for process measure             | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection  | # of completed surveys via Qualtrics.                           | Maintain or exceed 65% completion rate | 2        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Idea #2 Drive QI initiatives by c  | lisseminating patient experience results on                     | a quarterly basis.                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methods   | Process measures  | Target for process measure             | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection  | Successful initiation of at least one QI project within this FY | Collect baseline for this FY.          |          |  |  |  |  |  |

# Safety

### Measure - Dimension: Safe

| Indicator #4   | Туре | Source /<br>Period                          | Current<br>Performance | Target | Target Justification   | External Collaborators |
|--|------|---|------------------------|--------|--|------------------------|
| Ratio of repeated workplace<br>violence incidents (same initiator) to<br>total number of workplace violence<br>incidents | С    | Hospital<br>collected<br>data / Jan-<br>Dec | 46.49                  |        | Continued focus on preventing<br>workplace violence. Focusing on<br>proactive safety approaches and<br>decreasing events in ambulatory<br>areas. |                        |

| Change Idea #1 Utilize proactive safety tools when behavioral safety needs are identified to prevent reoccurrence, e.g., Walk through talk through, learning teams, and team huddles to make comprehensive and collaborative safety plans. |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Methods  | Process measures Target for process measure Comments  |   |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection   | # of code whites activated Monitoring<br>for employee harm # of safety plans<br>implemented | Maintain or remain below the current target of 45%. | Compare this with employee harm data. |  |  |  |  |  |

# Measure - Dimension: Safe

| Indicator #5   | Туре |                             | Source /<br>Period                          | Current<br>Performance | Target | Target Justification   | External Collaborators |
|--|------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|--------|--|------------------------|
| Number of Pressure Injuries Greater<br>Than Stage 2 and Unstageable per<br>1000 patient days | С    | 1,000 patient<br>days / All | Hospital<br>collected<br>data / Jan-<br>Dec | 0.20                   |        | Working toward Solutions for<br>Patient Safety (SPS) network<br>aspirational target. |                        |

| Change Idea #1 Continue to implement the SPS evidence-based bundle of techniques to reduce PI |  |                                  |          |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Methods   | Process measures   | Target for process measure       | Comments |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection  | To aim for less or equal to the SPS centerline for a PI =/> than a stage 2 on a rolling 12 month average.  | 0.20 is the target for this year |          |  |  |  |  |
| Change Idea #2 Continue to conduct PI   | audits to evaluate adherence to bundle ele   | ements                           |          |  |  |  |  |
| Methods   | Process measures   | Target for process measure       | Comments |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection  | % audits completed that were compliant<br>with implementing the PI evidence-<br>based bundle of techniques. Complete PI<br>audit/month across all three inpatient<br>units (SPRD, BIRT, CCC) |                                  |          |  |  |  |  |

# Measure - Dimension: Safe

| Indicator #6  | Туре | •          | Source /<br>Period                          | Current<br>Performance | Target | Target Justification    | External Collaborators |
|---|------|------------|---|------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Percentage of Medication<br>reconciliation completed for<br>inpatients at discharge | С    | inpatients | Hospital<br>collected<br>data / Jan-<br>Dec | 99.94                  | 95.00  | Maintain maximum target |                        |

| Change Idea #1 Ongoing education for clinicians not completing medication reconciliation at discharge. |  |                                       |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Methods  | Process measures   | Target for process measure            | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house data collection via education sessions  | Continue sharing medication<br>reconciliation audit data at Medication<br>Committees | Maintain current level of performance |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |